

#31
11-7-03

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/286,166	FOWLKES ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Brannock	1646	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Laura Coruzzi, Eileen Falvey, James Broach.

(3) Yvonne Eyler, Anthony Caputa.

(2) Michael Brannock.

(4) Jasemine Chambers.

Date of Interview: 11/05/03.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: SLIDE SHOW

Claim(s) discussed: 59.

Identification of prior art discussed: KANG et al., US. PAT. 5284746, KING et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _____

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

DISCUSSED WHETHER OR NOT KANG TEACHES THAT G₂ HYBRIDS
CANNOT BE EXPECTED TO COUPLE TO THE HETEROZYGOUS RECEPTOR
AGREES TO DEPOSIT AMENDMENTS IN WRITING